This KIT includes this MANUAL and thirteen copies each of the two self-inventory questionnaires.

PROBING VOLUNTEER-STAFF RELATIONS

developed by

Council of National Organizations for Adult Education

CONTENTS of this MANUAL	
HOW THIS KIT CAME TO BE	
Starting Point	3
A New Approach Evolves	3
Assumptions in Developing This Self-Inventory Tool	4
An Organization Self-Inventory: Objectives	4
DESCRIPTION OF THE PLAN	
Purpose	5
Form of Presentation	5
Participants	5
Summarizing Data	5
GUIDE FOR THE ADMINISTRATOR	
Suggestions for Procedure	6
Conducting Part I	6
Conducting Part II	6
Review of Total Process	7
DISCUSSION QUESTIONS	
Criteria for Selecting Questions	8
Suggested Questions for Part I	8
Suggested Questions for Part II	9
SAMPLE TALLIES	11

National organizations represented on the Volunteer-Staff Relations Committee, Council of National Organizations for Adult Education:

Alliance of Unitarian Women

American Association of University Women

American Cancer Society

American Foundation for the Blind

American Jewish Committee

American National Red Cross

Association of Junior Leagues of America

B'nai B'rith Women

Camp Fire Girls, Inc.

Child Study Association

Credit Union National Association

Girl Scouts of the U.S.A.

Leadership Resources, Inc.

National Association for Mental Health

National Association for Retarded Children

National Conference of Christians and Jews

National Congress of Parents and Teachers

National Council of Jewish Women

National Tuberculosis Association

National University Extension Association

Planned Parenthood Federation of America

The National Foundation

Young Men's Christian Association, National Council

Young Women's Christian Association, National Board

National organizations participating in testing this material:

Alliance of Unitarian Women

American National Red Cross

B'nai B'rith Women

Camp Fire Girls, Inc.

Credit Union National Association

National Association for Retarded Children

Young Women's Christian Association, National Board

Copyright @ 1963 by Council of National Organizations for Adult Education.

Published by Association Press, 291 Broadway, New York 7, N. Y.

All rights reserved, including the right of reproduction in whole or in part in any form, under the Pan-American and Universal Copyright Conventions.

Publisher's Title Stock No.: W356

Price: \$4.50 for the first kit; \$4.00 each for additional kits on same order.

Second Printing, May, 1964

Starting Point

Although the American propensity for organizing voluntary associations has been evident since our early years as a nation, the rise of the staffed national voluntary association, with an educational, philanthropic, or fraternal purpose, is largely a phenomenon of the twentieth century. In such organizations, leadership is shared by staff and volunteers. The study of one or another aspect of leadership and leader interrelationships has been a major preoccupation of the Council of National Organizations for Adult Education. For a number of years, member agencies have urged a specific study in depth of volunteer-staff relationships at the leadership level. A committee was finally authorized in 1959. Membership consisted of both staff and volunteers from a spectrum of agencies. Its mission was broadly stated as that of "examining new concepts and approaches to the matter of role relationships and role responsibilities of volunteers and staff in national voluntary agencies."

A New Approach Evolves

The committee started out on an assumption, later questioned, that the role of the paid executives and related professional or administrative staff members on the one hand, and the role of the officers, members of the governing body and chairmen of committees, on the other hand, would have certain identifiable characteristics common to all, or at least a very wide variety, of national voluntary organizations. This assumption was taken for granted by most members of the committee at the outset, but a lively exchange of views and comparisons of experience revealed that the extent and variety of structures and interpersonal relationships within organizations are as diverse as their objectives, and even less easily categorized.

In short, there is no one "model" division of responsibilities (role relationship) between "staff" and "volunteer" with leadership responsibility, which characterizes or is to be preferred for all voluntary organizations. It is recognized that the staff member is paid for his work while the volunteer devotes leisure time, securing his livelihood from another source. Beyond this fact, the group found that it was unable to pinpoint other universally invariable differences between staff and volunteers at the leadership level. Exception could always be found to other suggested differences.

Preconceptions about what constitutes universally "sound" or "good" organizational structure, what roles are "proper" for volunteers or staff, and who functions as a "professional" thus came in for some fundamental shaking up. Much that had been learned from sources considered authoritative was challenged. The group was forced to

the conclusion that much of the literature on volunteerstaff relationships today was predicated on too narrow an experience and too stereotyped and localized a concept of "the executive" and "the board." Discussion revealed that there are organizations which deviate from textbook patterns which nevertheless are effective and productive and cohesive not only in accomplishing their ends, but in achieving a high level of personal growth and satisfaction among the individuals involved.

These group findings reinforced the conviction that the individual differences among organizations, as among people, are not inconsistent with good democratic practice and indeed that such pluralism enriches our social processes. The committee, therefore, sought to build on this conclusion by making positive use of these values.

There are many circumstances which influence the development of the relationship and division of responsibility between volunteer leadership of an organization and the persons it employs to carry forward its mission. This relationship is molded by such factors as the expectations of the parties, their competencies, their cultural preconceptions of their respective roles, their prior experience, their maturity, their economic stability, the extent of their agreement on a common ethic, and the quality and extent of communication between them.

This proved to be the key for what the committee agreed could be its task, namely, that of devising a tool whereby a given organization could undertake a self-inventory to improve the working relationships of volunteer and staff at the leadership level, in their respective assignments.

Assumptions in Developing This Self-Inventory Tool

The committee evolved the following six working assumptions:

- Effectiveness in both personal and organizational accomplishment is reduced if there should exist substantial unrecognized differences in the way in which different people in leadership positions in the same organization (regardless of whether they are volunteers or staff) perceive the objectives of the organization and their respective roles in it.
- 2. A common effort to discover whether such differences exist, and to arrive at common concepts within an organization, can be productive. A tool, forged out of the experience of many organizations, which can be used by any one group to conduct a private, intraorganizational study, will be useful.
- 3. Unacknowledged differences in perception among individual leaders in the same organization will arise from many circumstances. A significant one may be a group identification as "volunteer" or "staff" with preconceived ideas and concepts as to their roles.
- 4. Many leaders are engaged in a wide variety of voluntary activities at the local level (successively and concurrently) but by the very nature of national responsibilities, they tend to devote time in depth to leadership in only a limited number of national voluntary organizations. Preconceptions based on such necessarily limited experiences tend to accentuate problems when either the volunteer or staff leaders do migrate from one national organization to another, as well as from local to national.
- Individual interpretations given to words found in common usage among organizations, and the persistence of percepts based on individual experience, need to be examined by leaders of voluntary organizations to encourage clarity of communication.
- 6. Individual volunteers and staff, by examining separately and then discussing together their respective mental pictures of their own organizations, can identify the ways in which it may truly differ from other organizations, recognizing that such differences are not in themselves "good" or "bad."

An Organization Self-Inventory: Objectives

It is important for organizations undertaking to utilize this self-inventory to keep in mind the following considerations and objectives:

 This self-inventory is designed for use initially among staff and volunteers in policy-making or administrative positions.

- 2. It is intended to be administered within the organization, in privacy. The greatest possible degree of anonymity should be maintained for participants in those phases of the study which do not involve faceto-face group discussion. The effect will be diminished if polite or expected responses replace candid replies to the questionnaires.
- 3. The self-inventory provides a structure for self-study through identifying important characteristics which help to define organizational personality. These characteristics or "Dimensions" will then form the frame of reference against which leaders can view objectively the extent to which they do, or do not, agree among themselves about the purpose and personality of their own organization.
- 4. The self-inventory consists of a sequence of phases, each building on the previous one but having a value of its own, so that early phases may be used for exploration without necessarily committing the organization to pursue the plan to its completion. This should provide adaptability to the needs of various organizations, particularly in the amount of time to be invested.
- 5. The first part, more general, paves the way for the second part, more specific, more intimate, and more likely to lead to concrete changes in operational and "interpersonal" as contrasted to conceptual relationships.

This study tool will have full value to organizations to the extent that the participants will consciously develop a certain detachment and willingness to suspend judgment on many values which previously have been taken for granted. Testing experience has demonstrated the values of this tool at national, regional, and local levels of leadership.

Despite the care and planning which has gone into its construction, the operation of this self-inventory is far from automatic. The committee has provided guidelines for its use, but there is no substitute for the creative imagination and for the enthusiasm with which participants within a given organization will address themselves to the adventure. The committee wishes to participants as much stimulation and satisfaction as its own members have already enjoyed in the construction of this self-inventory tool for increasing organization effectiveness through improved volunteer-staff relations.

Volunteer-Staff Relations Committee, Council of National Organizations for Adult Education Virginia L. Blood, Chairman

Purpose

This plan consists of a two-part self-inventory tool for use with the administrative and/or policy-making leaders in your organization, both volunteer and staff. An organization may use only PART I, or go on to PART II, basing the choices and emphasis within PART II on the experience with PART I. PART II should involve the same persons who participated in PART I. It is designed to help leaders in your organization do two things:

- Identify and discuss significant variations in perception which may exist among the leadership in relation to the organization's basic characteristics (PART I).
 Only when this is completed:
- Explore in depth the staff-staff, volunteer-volunteer, and volunteer-staff relations which affect the operation of the organization (PART II).

Form of Presentation*: PART I — Basic Dimensions

Phase A — Dimension Questionnaires — Individually selfadministered check-list type of questionnaires dealing with eleven basic "Dimensions" relating to the organization's purposes, structure and ways of work.

Phase B — Group discussion focused upon certain of the Dimensions covered in the questionnaire. Criteria and set of suggested questions of an open-end variety designed to form the starting point for group discussion of each Dimension will be chosen from among the eleven by the organization itself.

Form of Presentation: PART II — Interpersonal Factors

Phase A — Interpersonal Questionnaires — A similar set of check-list type of questionnaires based on the same Di-

mensions. Each unit, individually self-administered, is related to the corresponding Dimension used in PART I with questions focused directly on interpersonal relationships.

Phase B — Group discussions based on the subject matter of the Phase A questionnaires. Again, a set of questions of an open-end variety to provoke discussion is included.

Participants

Volunteers and staff should be selected because of their policy and/or administrative responsibilities. If the organization chooses to proceed to PART II, the same persons should take part. No one should be included in PART II who has not participated in PART I.

Summarizing Data

Sample tallies are provided for tabulating and analyzing the responses to questionnaires. To maintain anonymity, each participant's returns should be identified only by whether or not the participant is volunteer or staff. A particular organization may choose to introduce such additional nonidentifying characteristics as sex or length of service, provided these can be added without destroying anonymity.

NOW, BEFORE PROCEEDING FURTHER, READ THE TWO QUESTIONNAIRES. Thirteen copies of each are in the pockets of this Kit. This number was chosen to provide twelve sets for participants, both volunteer and staff, and one for the administrator.

[°]In order to achieve the most objective thinking and productive discussions, it is strongly urged that both sets of questionnaires be used without change. That is why multiple copies are provided. The value of this questionnaire, not specifically tied to any single organization, was borne out overwhelmingly in the testing. The participants will need specific directions as to whether their replies are to apply to their entire organization, or only the particular unit in which they work. Persons "wearing two hats" will need to choose one and wear it consistently throughout the response and discussion phases, in order to avoid confusion.

GUIDE FOR THE ADMINISTRATOR

Suggestions for Procedure: PART I and PART II

In using the self-inventory tool, the following procedure is suggested:

- Lay out a time schedule for the organization's participation. This should include preparation, distribution and completion of the questionnaires, tabulation of responses, and subsequent group discussions.
- Select the participants. Four to six each of volunteers and staff at the administrative leadership level would make a good group. Try to keep the group of volunteers and staff selected comparable in number and level of leadership responsibility.
- Decide whether questionnaires are to be handled by mailing or whether a special meeting will be arranged.

Conduct PART I, Phase A: Dimension Questionnaire

- 1. Prepare a brief introduction including:
 - a) organization's interest in participation
 - b) reason for selection of participants
 - c) anonymity of the respondent
 - d) the importance of individual response without prior consultation
 - e) the importance of personal opinion; no implication of "right" and "wrong" answers
 - f) any other information which is necessary.

The above may supplement the Participant's Introduction to the Dimension Questionnaire. Make comments you think necessary in your own introduction.

- Participants complete Dimension Questionnaire and return to administrator.
- Prepare tallies for tabulating responses. (See sample on page 11.)
- 4. Analyze results. It is anticipated that several possible results may occur from any given Dimension. Volunteers may agree or disagree among themselves or with staff; staff may agree or disagree among themselves or with volunteers. Consideration should be given to

the actual differences in perception shown by the responses to the Dimension Questionnaire, as well as the choices for discussion indicated by the participants.

Carry Out Phase B of PART I: Discussion of Dimensions

- Select the Dimensions for discussion making use of the information on your tallies (see pages 11 and 12) which will indicate:
 - a) those Dimensions about which there were widely varying perceptions among the participants
 - b) those Dimensions about which the participants thought other people would disagree with them
 - c) those Dimensions which the participants expressed a desire to discuss further.
- Review suggested criteria and discussion questions for those Dimensions you select for discussion. (See page 12). Choose and adapt questions as necessary or write new ones.
- 3. Decide how much time as well as how many sessions you will give to discussion in depth and make a schedule. Groups of six to twelve persons are recommended, maintaining a balance between staff and volunteer participants in each group.
- 4. Select and orient discussion leaders.
- 5. Carry out discussion sessions.
- Evaluate results giving special attention to clues pointing to tensions in the area of interpersonal relations to be picked up in PART II.

Conduct PART II, Phase A: Interpersonal Questionnaire

This part of the inventory focuses on the *interpersonal* relationships between volunteer and staff and is designed to assist organization leadership to examine the factors that help or hinder such relationships in organization

effectiveness. The same broad eleven Dimensions are provided to explore at an interpersonal level. PART II should be conducted for the *same* volunteers and staff who participated in PART I. Proceed as follows:

- Prepare a brief introduction on the purpose of PART II with your organization in mind, picking up on the opportunity to delve into some of the interpersonal blocks to effective operation.
- 2. Participants complete Interpersonal Questionnaire and return to administrator.
- 3. Prepare tallies for tabulating responses. (See sample on page 11).
- Collect and analyze data and share with group to determine those areas in which further discussion is needed.

Carry Out Phase B of PART II: Discussion of Interpersonal Aspects of Dimensions

- 1. When areas for discussion have been determined using tallies as in PART I, select discussion questions. (See page 12).
- 2. Schedule small discussion groups as above and orient discussion leaders.
- 3. Carry out discussion sessions and evaluate results.

Review the Total Process

- Consider whether insights gained suggest need for any changes in orientation procedures, in-service training programs, etc.
- Consider uses of tool with other volunteer-staff groups in the organization at the national, regional, state or local levels.

Criteria for Selecting and Drafting Discussion Questions for PARTS I and II, Phase B

 On the following pages, you will find some suggested questions related to each of the eleven Dimensions.
 These are intended as starters for group discussions.
 After you have selected two to four Dimensions for discussion, consider the corresponding questions in the light of the following criteria.

2. All questions:

- a. should be clearly related to the differing perceptions about the particular organization using the self-inventory
- should draw out the thinking reflected in the replies to the questionnaire
- c. should be open-ended enough to provoke progression of ideas in the group, yet define the reasonable limits for each discussion session
- d. should help clarify matters of fact which differing perceptions may indicate were not understood
- should be stated so as not to alienate individuals from one another regardless of their point of view
- f. should help identify potential problems facing the organization growing out of any wide differences in perception and understanding of the Dimension.
- If the suggested questions which follow meet these criteria for your organization, use them. If not, adapt them or devise new ones to meet your needs.
- 4. For all discussion sessions, in addition to selected questions related to each Dimension, the following three questions should be asked:
 - a. What is the most important thing we can learn from the tabulation results of this Dimension?
 - b. What steps can we take to increase the effectiveness of staff and volunteer roles in this Dimension?
 - c. Can everyone who participated in this discussion name one thing he is going to do differently as a result of this discussion?

Suggested Discussion Questions for PART I, Phase B Dimension 1: Organization Objectives

- What opportunities for individual growth and leadership exist in this organization?
- 2. How do we keep the organization's over-all goals and the needs of individuals in it in balance?
- 3. What does the organization do about a volunteer or staff leader who shows signs of inability to contribute well towards its goals?

Dimension 2: Decision Making

- In what way does program personnel participate in major decisions concerning policy?
- 2. How are major national policy and program decisions interpreted and accepted by staff and volunteers at all levels?
- 3. Are major organization decisions enforced or are they merely guidelines?

Dimension 3: Accountability

- 1. How does the organization account for its acts to its leaders? its members? its contributors? its clients? to the general public?
- 2. What consideration is given to public opinion in the over-all activity of the organization?
- 3. How does the organization handle a situation in which the leadership, its membership, or the community-atlarge are at odds about organization purposes and/or program?

Dimension 4: Sources of Volunteer Leadership

- 1. How do our volunteer leaders get started in the organization?
- 2. Who is responsible for recruiting volunteer leadership? What part does staff play? What part do volunteers play?
- 3. How does one achieve a key volunteer leadership position in the organization?

Dimension 5: Sources of Ideas

- 1. Where did our last major "new idea" come from? How extensive was participation in its development? What other participation might there have been?
- 2. When a change in program is being considered, how can those staff and volunteer leaders concerned, who are not directly involved in policy making, convey to top program planners the problems of operation and interpretation which may well result?
- 3. How do we encourage opportunities for creative expression in our staff and volunteer leadership? What else might we do?

Dimension 6: Employment of Staff

- 1. How do we define responsibilities of staff and volunteer leadership? Are there differences between stated responsibilities and those actually practiced?
- 2. Have the interests, expectation and participation of volunteer leadership changed following the employment of (additional) staff?
- 3. To what extent does staff do the work attributed to committees?

Dimension 7: Manpower Resources

- How are our present personnel, volunteer and staff, meeting our programmed tasks?
- 2. What other resources are there for the recruitment of needed volunteer leadership?
- 3. Are the skills of present staff and volunteer leadership being used to their full potential?

Dimension 8: Communication

- 1. What are the advantages of "free flow" communication between staff and volunteers? the advantages of "channeled flow"?
- 2. Where do the channels sometimes break down? Why?
- 3. How can we prevent duplication of effort or lack of clearance?

Dimension 9: Continuity

1. How is continuity of policy and program maintained when there is turnover in top staff? in top volunteer leadership?

- 2. What are the effects of turnover on present personnel, volunteers and staff, and on those recruited?
- 3. What are the factors influencing reassignment-upward movement or dropping out? Is the total effect good?

Dimension 10: Interchangeability of Roles

- 1. Are there board or committee leaders in our organization who have professional skills related to our organization's mission? How do we utilize these skills if we have them?
- 2. Should staff members pinch-hit for volunteers if the volunteer leader isn't able to follow through for some reason?
- 3. What are the advantages of maintaining clearly separated "staff" and "volunteer" jobs in an organization like ours? What are the advantages of permitting or fostering interchangeability?

Dimension 11: Personal Satisfaction

- 1. How diverse are satisfactions received by staff and volunteer leadership in our organization?
- 2. How meaningful is the recognition we give our volunteers? our staff?
- 3. Are these means of recognition adequate? appropriate to our organization's purposes?

Suggested Discussion Questions for PART II, Phase B Dimension 1: Organization's Objectives

- 1. If you feel that leaders, whether volunteer or staff, have different views of this organization's objectives, and/or the means by which they should be attained, how are volunteer-staff working relationships affected?
- 2. How clearly do volunteers and staff see the relation of their jobs to the objectives of the organization?
- 3. What differences do you sense in the degree of commitment to these objectives felt by volunteers and staff?

Dimension 2: Decision Making

- 1. What problems exist because of the methods used in making decisions?
- 2. Why do volunteers or staff assume responsibility that is not theirs for making decisions?

- 3. Why do volunteers or staff shirk the responsibility that is theirs for making decisions?
- 4. How much do volunteers and staff feel they can influence decision making in this organization?

Dimension 3: Accountability

- How do differences of opinion about this organization's accountability affect volunteer-staff relations?
- 2. To what extent should this organization be held responsible for the actions of its representatives, whether volunteer or staff?
- 3. To what extent should a volunteer or staff person be held responsible for the actions of this organization?
- 4. What are the problems in working relationships, created by a person's attitude toward and accountability to his profession such as social welfare, nursing, education?

Dimension 4: Sources of Volunteer Leadership

- 1. What kind of problems in volunteer-staff relationships may be attributed to recruitment of volunteer leaders from outside this organization?
- 2. What kind of problems in volunteer-staff relationships may be attributed to promotion of volunteer leaders from within?
- 3. Why do some volunteers in leadership positions prefer to be leaders in name only and have staff responsible for the directions of program, policies, etc.?
- 4. Why do some staff members in leadership positions prefer to have volunteer leaders assume the responsibilities for the direction of program, policies, etc.?
- 5. Why do some staff members prefer volunteers who are only figureheads?
- 6. What part should staff play in recruitment and selection of volunteer leaders?

Dimension 5: Sources of Ideas

- If new program ideas are initiated primarily by volunteers, or primarily by staff, what effect does this pattern have on relationships between individual staff members and volunteers?
- Can you identify any barriers that prevent any volunteers or staff members from suggesting new ideas, possible policy or program changes, etc.?

Dimension 6: Employment of Staff

1. How do differences in understanding about the purposes of staffing affect you in carrying out your responsibilities in this organization?

- 2. What kinds of assumptions about the role of staff create problems in working relationships?
- 3. What discrepancies are there between the stated job descriptions for staff members in leadership positions and the actual demands and expectations directed toward them?

Dimension 7: Manpower Resources

- 1. What kinds of personal frustration may occur when program goals exceed available manpower?
- 2. What factors may contribute to such frustrations?
- 3. How could better uses be made of time and talents in accomplishing program goals?

Dimension 8: Communication

- 1. What are the blocks to communications between volunteers and staff?
- 2. How can we overcome the special communication barrier created by "part-timeness"?
- 3. What kinds of information should be shared between staff and volunteers?
- 4. When might it be valid to withhold information?

Dimension 9: Continuity

- 1. What are the assumptions being made about who has responsibility for maintaining continuity in all of its aspects in this organization?
- Who carries primary responsibility for continuity of work? passing on the traditions? passing on "knowhow"?
- 3. How can continuity be maintained in the face of resignations, dropouts, and transfers?

Dimension 10: Interchangeability of Roles

- 1. What assumptions exist in this organization about the flexibility and interchangeability of staff and volunteer roles?
- 2. How willing are volunteers and staff to share their responsibilities for leadership?
- 3. When role interchangeability is desirable, what kinds of action would further increase the effectiveness of volunteer-staff working relationships?

Dimension 11: Personal Satisfaction

- 1. What are the factors in our working relationships between volunteers and staff in this organization that bring greatest personal satisfaction on the job?
- 2. What are the factors in these relationships that deter satisfaction or cause tension?
- 3. What steps can be taken in our working relationships which will tend to increase personal satisfaction and productivity in this organization?

Sample Tallies for PART I and PART II, Phase A

Below is a sample tally sheet suggested for use with each of the eleven Dimensions. To summarize all responses received from your participants, enter in each appropriate box the total number of people (volunteers in top row, staff in bottom row) who marked a given point out of the five choices on the range offered for each Dimension. For this purpose, consider that the points on the range given in the questionnaire were numbered 1 to 5 from left to right. For example, if a total of six volunteers' and six staff responses were received, the form for Dimension 1, PART I might look like this:

Number of volunteers participating in the self-inventory:

Number of staff participating in the self-inventory: 6

DIMENSION 1 — Organization's Objectives

a) Opinion Responses

Range:	Task-centered		10 M	Perill	Process-centered
Range Point:	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Volunteers (6)	1	2		3	1 2 8 8
Staff (6)	1	2	1	r = = <	2

Note: A double-range tally will be necessary for some of the Dimensions in PARTS I and II.

*b) Similar-judgment Responses

	Staff		Volunteers	
	yes	no	yes	no
Volunteer respondents (6)	2	4	1	5
Staff respondents (6)	3	3	4	2

^{*}For PART I - Dimension Questionnaire only.

Sample Summary Tally Sheet to Determine Selection of Dimensions for Discussion

Discussion Preferences: Enter in the appropriate box a mark for each response designating the given Dimension as first, second, or third choice. (See the last page of each questionnaire.) The total of each column should equal the total number of respondents. To estimate the Dimensions preferred by the group as a whole, weights may be attached to first, second and third choices, for example, 5, 3, 1.

Opinion Responses: Show the variability in perception by ranking the opinion responses from your tally, from one to eleven. See sample Dimension 1 a), page 11.

	Pa	rticipants' Discus	ssion Preferences		Rank of	°Administrator's	
Dimension	1st choice	2nd choice	3rd choice	Weighted Totals	Opinion Responses	Choice for Discussion	
1	1111	//	/	27	5		
2	/	///	/	15	2	2	
3	11	/	///	16	1	3	
4			/	1	6		
5	/		1	6	4		
6		1		3	11		
7			1	1	9		
8	////	///	//	31	10	1	
9		1	1	4	3		
10		1		3	8		
11			/	1	7		
	12	12	12			***************************************	

^{*}In choosing the Dimensions for discussion, the administrator will consider:

- 1. The weighted totals of Participants' Discussion Preferences.
- 2. The actual range of opinions shown in the column ranking opinion responses. Wider ranges may indicate a need for discussion.
- 3. Analysis of Similar-judgment Responses. See sample Dimension 1 b), page 11.
- Other factors in the organization which would make discussion of the subject desirable.

PART 1 - DIMENSION QUESTIONNAIRE

of the organization self-inventory

PROBING VOLUNTEER-STAFF RELATIONS

PARTICIPANT'S INTRODUCTION

What It Is

This organization self-inventory is a tool designed for use by staff and volunteers with policy and/or administrative responsibilities in voluntary organizations. The purpose of using the tool is to achieve common perceptions of the goals, structure and volunteer-staff responsibilities and relationships within a given organization.

Organizations undergo subtle changes over a period of time. These sometimes are unperceived by those closely and continuously associated with them. In addition both staff and volunteer personnel move from one organization to another bringing with them previous experience and conceptions concerning the nature, function and operation of voluntary organizations. While this is a source of vitality, it can also be a source of misunder-standing, tension and inefficiency. A self-study is a method of revealing whether or not different understandings currently exist.

In planning to use this organization self-inventory, a comparable number of volunteers and staff at the administrative leadership level have been selected as participants. As a participant you will have a chance to exchange views with others.

Completing the attached self-administered Dimension Questionnaire is the first step. Your replies will be anonymous to encourage your frank response. The questionnaire deals with eleven basic ideas, called "Dimensions," descriptive of organizational purposes, structure, and actual ways of work. You merely check one of the boxes on the range which seems to you to most nearly correspond to the description of this organization. Answer in terms of what you see as actual practice even if this sometimes varies from the way you think it should be. We are interested in your opinion — there are no "right" or "wrong" answers. A tabulation of all anonymous responses for each Dimension will reveal where we have general agreement or disagreement. Then, opportunity for a group discussion of those Dimensions where we seem to have different perceptions will be arranged at a time convenient for the participants.

What To Do

You will enjoy working on this questionnaire much more if you will take your time. Read the description of each Dimension carefully and think about what it means. Some of the Dimension ideas may seem unfamiliar. But think about this organization, how the idea behind the Dimension applies to it, and the different ways in which it applies. Then consider the two extremes presented and try to estimate in which direction this organization leans and how far. Then mark the box on the range underneath that seems to you most nearly to fit the judgment you've just made. All the Dimensions are constructed in the same general way.

Here's an example worked out on a Dimension that isn't included among the eleven in your questionnaire:

DIMENSION EXAMPLE: Rate of Change

Some organizations change rapidly, in response to inner or outer demands or needs, changing times, and so on. We think of these groups as being in fast motion, that is, **dynamic.** Others seem to hold steady on fixed foundations. We would call these organizations **stable.** In most organizations there are some dynamic and some stable elements in varying proportions.

		box which, in your o stable characteristic	and the same of th	A 1256	balance
Dynam	ic				Stable
		his organization are or response will be m		177 E-16	Do you
Staff	Yes 🗌	No 🗌	Volunteers	Yes 🗌	No [

Now if you think of this organization as being well established and adhering to pre-established goals and procedures, but nevertheless taking on a new look in some of its programs, you would probably mark the next to the last square on the right. On the other hand, if this organization is undergoing a rapid metamorphosis with major revisions in plans, you'd probably pick the extreme left-hand box. In any case, the question is how you see it. Make the best decision you can. Remember there is no right or wrong answer. If you have questions, make a note of them for discussion later.

After you've done that, make a guess (and record it under "b") as to whether other volunteer leaders and other staff leaders in this organization are likely to agree with you or disagree with you. Please be sure to answer both parts of question "b" whether you are a volunteer or staff member.

NOW GO AHEAD WITH THE QUESTIONS ON THE ELEVEN DIMENSIONS, WHICH BEGIN ON THE NEXT PAGE.

•				Please check	whether you a	re: \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		
DIMENSION	1: Orgai	nization Objectiv	es					
others are prin They place mo	adication narily con ost empha	of diseases, or the cerned with what h sis on providing m	e joint ownership appens to individual nembers with opp	of property, of luals within the portunities for	r the securing organization - education or s	ent of some outside g of beneficial legis – that is, process-cer self-improvement the two objectives in	lation. ntered. nrough	
	 a) Please check the box which, in your opinion, locates this organization on the range between task-centered and process-centered. 							
	Task-cen					s-centered		
		r leaders in this org ipate that your respo				s. Do you		
	Staff	Yes 🗌	No 🗆	Volunteers	Yes 🗌	No 🗆		
DIMENSION	2: Decisi	on Making						
external relation organization, it	may be	be, in effect, centr	ralized or concer many people thr	trated in a sm ough formal or	all group, wh informal pro	, personnel, interna ile in a different ty cesses, such as a co	ype of	
		e check the box wless in this organizatority.						
	Centraliza	ed				Distributed		
		r leaders in this org pate that your respo				s. Do you		
	Staff	Yes 🗌	No 🗌	Volunteers	Yes 🗌	№□		
DIMENSION	3: Accou	intability						
formal sense by some organization perpetuate in tributors wheth	at more blions according to activition to activition to activition or not according to according	oroadly, depending untability is comples. In some, account they "belong," or a ation may be view	on its objective etely internal and intability is to a all the organizati	s, structure, sou I the governing well-defined m on's "clients."	body dependent of the control of the	countable, not only cort and other factor is on itself and its cort it may include all countability may be ity or public at large	rs? In harter l con- more	
		e check the box which tance of internal a				e relative		
	Internal					External		
		leaders in this org				. Do you		
	Staff	Yes 🗆	No 🗆	Volunteers	Yes 🗆	No □		

DIMENSION 10: Interchangeability of Roles

In some organizations, the respective responsibilities of volunteers and staff in leadership positions are well defined and distinct and tend to be **noninterchangeable.** In other organizations a given responsibility may be assigned at one time to a staff member and at another time to a volunteer leader or committee as circumstances may dictate. That is, the roles are viewed as readily **interchangeable.** Still other organizations present a mixed picture.

			ox that seems to	-			ganization	
h	nterchang	eable				Noninter	changeable	
E			is organization response will b				ts. Do you	
S	Staff	Yes 🗌	No □	,	Volunteers	Yes 🗌	No []
DIMENSION 11	: Persoi	nal Satisfa	ction					
When an individual he is usually reference to their leas or honorary appropriately and talking the series of the s	ders. Sor ointments of indiangible in most in	a sense of ne make ex s. In others vidual respo ewards in a ng pay fron early descril	accomplishmentensive use of to it is expected ect or identification measure. In consideration, pees this organize	t. Organizangible re that leaded tion with please chation's con	zations differ cognition such ers will derive group achie eck the box abination of	in the way, ch as citation we satisfaction wement. Man which, in you ways of givin	s in which the as or designation from intangil ny organization or opinion, ng leaders	y give this ons of rank ole rewards
			al satisfaction in age between ta n			apply to (1)	volunteers,	
(1) To Volunte	ore.							
(17 TO VOIGING	.013.	Tangi	ble					Intangible
(2) To Staff:		Tangi	ble	Ц				Intangible
ь			is organization response will b				ts. Do you	
S	itaff	Yes 🗌	No 🗆	1	/olunteers	Yes 🗌	No [l
PLEASE BE SUF	RE YOU	HAVE ANS	WERED BOT	H PARTS	OF QUESTI	ON B) UND	ER EACH DIN	MENSION.
			Pa	rticip	ant's D	iscussio	on Prefe	rences
Now that you haveleven Dimension								hich of the
			1 st Choice		2nd Choice	e	3rd Choice	
Dimen	sion No.	-					1.0	
		Pleas	e check whethe	r you are:	√ Volunteer Staff			





DIMENSION 4: Sources of Volunteer Leadership

In some organizations, volunteer leadership for board and committees is recruited from within the organization itself. Personal knowledge and practical experience in the organization are felt to be essential to the achievement of the goals of these organizations and recruitment is primarily **internal**. On the other hand, there are organizations which seek their volunteer leadership from the larger community. For example, prominent people and those with certain specialized skills are thought to be essential to the achievement of the goals of these organizations, even though they lack prior participation in the group. This can be described as **external** recruitment.

and the same of th		The state of the s	be essential to the the group. This can			hese organizations, even uitment.
	impo		which, in your opinic al and external re			
				[
	Internal					External
			organization are be esponse will be much			Do you
	Staff	Yes 🗌	No 🗌	Volunteers	Yes 🗌	No 🗆
DIMENSION S	5: Source	es of Ideas				
the initiation of originate ideas expected to take	f new pro which le e the lead n betwee	ogram ideas. In ead to program l in initiating ne en, there are or	some organization changes, doing s wideas and in pro	s the volunteer be to largely independent by iding the major	poard members ndently of state impetus to the	taff respectively play in s or committees actively ff. In others, the staff is e organization's program contribute, in greater or
	impo	rtance of staff a	which, in your opinion of wolunteer leaders and program deve	ship in this organ		
	Voluntee			[Staff
	b) Other	leaders in this	organization are be esponse will be much	and the same of th		
	Staff	Yes 🗌	No 🗌	Volunteers	Yes 🗌	No 🗆
the same thing those tasks which words, they we had previously increased in con- not possess. In	nen volur. In some ch they not be re securit carried a mplexity these instot have the inizations a) Please important in this	ateer leaders in a organizations, to longer had the ang the time of a lone. In other cand scope to su tances, voluntee the skill to do aloutoday.	the volunteer lead time to do as the constant person to can organizations, grow the change of the constant of the constant of the constant of	dership found the organization grew ry out many of the meant that the kills were required uying the required tions enter, with the corresponds means, corresponds means are responds means.	at they needed and the tasks the routine respectively and the tasks the routine respectively which they are tasks which they are tasks which they competence warying important to the the employment.	of staff
	Secured T				Required Cor	
			organization are be esponse will be much			Do you

Volunteers

Yes 🗌

No 🗌

No 🗌

Staff

Yes 🗌

DIMENSION 7: Manpower Resources

Every organization confronts the task of balancing its immediate **program priorities** or goals against its available manpower resources, including both volunteers and staff. Some organizations set themselves program tasks for accomplishment in a given time and then go out trying to secure the necessary volunteer and staff manpower. Others may first assess their available manpower resources or **manpower potential** and then tailor their immediate program objectives accordingly. Many organizations take both these considerations into account in varying measure.

	V 5000	I VI VI WITTEN	Access to the second		A STATE OF THE STA		
			which, in your op				
	The state of the s	The state of the s	m needs and avai year in this organ		wei ili esiub	maining anon-	
		onormes year by	year iii iiiis organi				
					<u> </u>		
	Program Set First	Priorities			Manpo	wer Potential Defined First	
	b) Other	leaders in this o	organization are b	eing asked for si	milar judgm	ents. Do you	
	antici	pate that your res	sponse will be muc	th the same as the	it given by:		
	Staff	Yes 🗌	No 🗆	Volunteers	Yes 🗌		No □
DIMENSION	8: Comn	nunication					
of the organizat freely with sev way that any g part. The most	ion and the eral differ iven volu- clearly ch	he way it tackles rent staff membe nteer (or staff n anneled flow is the	ws back and forth its tasks. Some or ers or vice versa nember) commun he pattern in whi- lear on all significant	rganizations have . Other organiza- nicates primarily ch the top staff n	free flow – tions partia with his s	- a volunteer ally channel taff (or volu	flow in such a inteer) counter-
	staff		hich, in your opinion n this organizatio				
				les esta deservirs		П	
	Free Flow			14 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Ch	anneled Flow	
			organization are b sponse will be muc	Control of the same of the sam		ents. Do you	
	Staff	Yes 🗌	No 🗆	Volunteers	Yes 🗌	mar appet)	No 🗆
DIMENSION 9	9: Contin	uity					
attitudes, tradit by various plan is staff who are	ions, and ned or u , in fact,	know-how. This aplanned means, mostly relied on	few years old ha "culture" is trans, but basically it to provide continuous. In ma	mitted to oncomi is a people-to-pe nuity in passing	ng leaders cople proce down the	(whether starts. In some organization	aff or volunteer) organizations it al lore. In other
	weigh	t of actual respo	which, in your op ensibility of staff or w to the new lead	and volunteer le	aders in pa		
				1	П		
	Staff					Volunteers	
			organization are b sponse will be muc			ents. Do you	
	Staff	Yes 🗌	No 🗆	Volunteers	Yes 🗆	1 1000	No 🏻

PART II-INTERPERSONAL QUESTIONNAIRE

of the organization self-inventory

PROBING VOLUNTEER-STAFF RELATIONS

PARTICIPANT'S INTRODUCTION

Now that you have had experience with this kind of questionnaire, here is a chance to consider the interpersonal implications of some of the same ideas you considered in PART I. The procedure is similar. Place a check in the box which most nearly indicates what you feel is true about the relationships in your work setting.

As before, your frank response is sought to this anonymous questionnaire.

Again, you will have an opportunity to discuss those areas of greatest interest.

NOW GO AHEAD WITH THE INTERPERSONAL QUESTIONS ON THE ELEVEN DIMENSIONS, WHICH BEGIN ON THE NEXT PAGE.

			Please	check whether yo	u are: { Volunteer Staff	
DIMENSION	l 1: Organizatio	n Objectives			(
which volunte	eers and staff may	view their organ	unteer and staff, uzation's objective our work situation	s. Answer the following	re differences in the vowing questions by ch	vay in ecking
••	To what exten		it staff and voluni	eers have similar	views of this	
	Similar					
	To what extent	do you feel that s ganization's object		have similar views	Different on means of	
				П	П	•
·	Similar				Different	
DIMENSION	l 2: Decision Mo	skina				
The effectiver or misunderst	ness of working randings concerning	elationships betw ng who has author	ity to make decisi	ons and who should	nfluenced by understa d be involved in the de opinion, best describe	cision-
	To what extent o	are you in accord w	rith the way decisio	ns are made in this	organization?	
	Completely				Not at all	-
	To what extent to your position		d in decision makin	g that you believe i	***************************************	
	To the Maximum	•			Not at all	
DIMENSION	3: Accountabil	iity				
Where there a in volunteer-st	re differences of o	pinion as to where ver the following	the organization	is primarily accoun	ablic and to its member table, tensions may do in your opinion, most	evelop
		do you feel that s eer-staff relations?	uch differences of	opinion about acco	untability are	
	To a great extent				Not at all	
DIMENSION	4: Sources of V	olunteer Leader	ship	•		
olunteer lead	lership from outsi	de the organizatio	n as against pron	oting it from with	ive importance of recr in the organization. A dicates your work site	nswer
			ization's pattern c er you in your worl	f recruiting (outsid	e or inside)	
	Helps				Hinders	

DIMENSION 5: Sources of Ideas

volunteers are	ork by staff and used. Answer to f this organizati	he following ques	quently related to tions by checking	the way in which the box which, in	h the ideas of both s your opinion, best i	taff and ndicates
	To what ex	stent do you feel th	at the ideas of staf	f are encouraged (and used?	,
			· 🗖			
	To the Maximum	n			Not at all	
÷	To what exten	t do you feel that	the ideas of volunt	eers are encourag	ed and used?	
	To the Maximum	n			Not at all	
DIMENSION	6: Employmer	nt of St aff			:	
staff as to wh	y an organizatio		nswer the followin		nding among volunte ecking the box which,	
	If you are a st	aff member:				
			the volunteers with purpose for which			
	If you are a v	olunteer:				
	•	-	e staff members wit ourpose for which th	•		•
	The Same				Different	
			•			
			ž.			
	7: Manpower					
the goals set l		on. Answer the fo			not adequate to acc x which, in your opini	
		t do you feel that re exceeded availa	problems have been ble manpower?	en created because	e program re-	
			П	П		
	To a great exter	nt			Not at ali	
	√					
DIMENSION	8: Communic	ation				·
					uences effective worki ion, best indicates yo	
	To what extent other?	do you think staff	and volunteers fee	I free to communic	ate with each	
		n				

DIMENSION	O. Cantingite					A
				. 10 1		
bas responsibil	ity for maintainir nswer the follow	g the continuity	of work and pa	dings between vol ssing on the tradit which, in your o	tions and know-ho	ow in the
		to you feet respon raditions and know		ning continuity of w mization?	ork and for	
n .				Ò		
	To a great extent				Not at all	
	·. ·					
DIMENSION	10: Interchange	ability of Roles				* *
Sometimes volv relationships is	unteer and staff influenced by th	oles are intercha e degree to whic	h this interchang	nes they are not. T eability is mutually it indicates your w	y accepted. Answe	
	1f you are a volu				•	
		there are aspects those of a staff		his organization wh	ich could be	
	If you are a staf			4 .		
* .	-	there are aspects that those of a volum		his organization wh	ich could be	
	Many Aspects				No Aspects	
•	•				,	-
DIMENSION	11: Personal Sa	tisfaction			i .	
related to pers	l effective relation onal satisfaction adicates the way	on the job. Answ	er the following	teers and staff in a question by check	organizations are ing the box which	frequently h, in your
	To what extent d satisfying to you		ards for working in	n this organization a	re personally	
	Very Satisfying				Not Satisfying	
					•	
	,					
			D	Va Diagraa	iam Dwafau	
			rarricipar	nt's Discuss	ion Preter	ences
Now that you leleven Dimens	have completed the	ne Interpersonal Q could like to disc	uestionnaire, ple uss in a small gr	ase indicate on the oup at some later	following scale wi	hich of the
	v.			,,,,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 		

	1 st 2nd Choice Choice	3rd Choice
Dimension No.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Please check whether you are:	{ Staff ☐ ☐ Volunteer ☐
and the state of t		

ı